Evaluating the effects of artificial reef size, spacing and materials on fish abundance and diversity in the Alabama Artificial Reef Permit Zone

Mark A. Albins, Crystal Hightower, Trey Spearman, Craig Newton, and Sean Powers



Stokes School of Marine & Environmental Sciences









Alabama Artificial Reef Permit Zone (AARPZ)

• Inner shelf zone (2019)

- Limestone aggregate reefs
- Juvenile fish shelters
- Outer shelf zone (2013-2018)
 - Shipwrecks
 - Super pyramids
 - Small reefs

Inner shelf zone: limestone aggregate reefs

- Design:
 - 20 reefs
 - Two levels of material density (600 mt and 900 mt)
 - One year of baseline data (2016) and three years of post-deployment data (2019-2021)
 - Seasonal (Spring and Fall)
- Response variables:
 - ROV video sampling
 - MaxN counts by species
 - Estimated TL for select species
 - Sabiki sampling
 - Numerical CPUE by species
 - Biomass CPUE by species





TimeStep

Model results: LS Agg, ROV MaxN, red snapper

- Mixed-effects model with negative binomial distribution
- No significant three-way interaction (p = 0.32, Density:Year:Season)
- No significant two-way interaction (p = 0.27, Density:Year)
- Significant two-way interactions
 - Density:Season (p = 0.02)
 - Max.N was 1.9 times larger on 900 mt than on 600 mt reefs in the Spring season
 - Year:Season (p < 0.0001)
 - Max.N was





ROV Total Length



Inner shelf zone: juvenile fish shelters

• Design:

- 50 reef arrays
- Reef cluster size (1, 2, and 4 modules)
- Reef spacing (100, 200, and 300 m between core and outer reefs)
- One year of baseline data (2016) and three years of post-deployment data (2019-2021)
- Seasonal (Spring and Fall)
- Response variables:
 - ROV video sampling
 - MaxN counts by species
 - Estimated TL for select species
 - Vertical longline sampling
 - Numerical CPUE by species
 - Biomass CPUE by species







ROV Total Length



ROV Total Length







Comparison of large, medium, and small reefs

- Snapshot (Fall 2022)
- Large reefs: Gladys B, New Venture, Lulu
 - Lulu, 271' coastal freighter, 2013
 - Gladys B, 102' tugboat, 2018
 - New Venture, 250' survey vessel, 2018
- Medium reefs: Super pyramids near these shipwrecks
- Small reefs: Standard pyramids and chicken coops near these shipwrecks
- Ran standard ROV survey plus EK80 fisheries echosounder on each



ROV results: species richness

- No significant differences among reef sizes
- Caveat: potential differences in detectability
 - Higher minimum safe approach distance for large reefs
 - Fewer observations of small fishes = richness estimates for large reefs likely to be too low



ROV results: species observed



Large	Medium	Small
Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	Х
Х	Х	
Х	Х	
Х	Х	
	Х	Х
	Х	Х
	Х	Х
Х		Х
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
	Х	
Х		
Х		
Х		
Х		
Х		
		Х
		Х
		Х
		Х
		Х
	Large X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Large Medium X X X

ROV results: Red Snapper MaxN

- 2.9 times higher on Medium than on Small (95% CI: 2.1-4.0)
- 1.8 times higher on Large than on Small (95% CI: 1.3-2.6)
- 1.6 times higher on Medium than on Large (95% CI: 1.2-2.1)





ROV results: Gray Snapper MaxN

- 3.0 times higher on Medium than on Small (95% CI: 1.1-8.3)
- 4.6 times higher on Large than on Small (95% CI: 1.7-12.1)
- No significant difference between Medium and Large





ROV results: Almaco Jack MaxN

- 8 times higher on Medium than Small (95% CI: 1.8-34.8)
- 24 times higher on Large than Small (95% CI: 5.8-98.7)
- 3 times higher on Large than Medium (95% CI: 1.7-5.3)





ROV results: Greater Amberjack MaxN

- No AJ observed on small reefs
- 2.3 times higher on Medium than Large (95% CI: 1.7-3.2)





Echosounder analysis

- Manual counts of fish from echosounder data
- Two modelling approaches:
 - Fit GAM to estimate density as function of distance from reef area under curve (rotated around center point) gives est. of absolute abundance
 - Fit spatial GAM to estimate density across area area under surface gives est. of absolute abundance

တ္လ

ଅ-87.937

-87 936

Echosounder survey of Gladys B.

Lonaitud



Preliminary echosounder results: non baitfish

- Estimated number of fish within 100m from center of reef (~31.4ha)
- Includes all species and all sizes (except baitfish)
- No significant difference between Small and Medium
- 1.8 times higher on Large than Small (95% CI: 1.7-1.9)
- 1.8 times higher on Large than Medium (95% CI: 1.7-1.9)



Preliminary echosounder results: baitfish

- Estimated volume of baitfish within 100m from center of reef (~31.4ha)
- Includes baitfish (too small to discern individual targets)
- 1.15 times higher on Medium than Small (95% CI: 1.10-1.22)
- 5.13 times higher on Large than Small (95% CI: 4.93-5.34)
- 4.44 times higher on Large than Medium (95% CI: 4.27-4.61)



Echosounder results: caveats

- Current estimates may not capture the entire halo of fish around Large reefs and may be too low for these reefs
 - Working to account for this with alternative spatial density models and better reef maps
- Current estimates are for numerical abundance of non-baitfish and ignore any differences in fish size among the reefs
 - We are working on calculating estimates of biomass for baitfish and non-baitfish



Baitfish around Gladys B.





ROV MaxN - Top 12 spp.



Sabiki CPUE (fish/3-rigs) - Red Snapper



Sabiki CPUE (fish/3-rigs) - Gray Triggerfish 15 -10 -Important Events Reefs Deployed 4 Hurricane Sally COUNT Material density 븑 600 mt 900 mt Pre-deployment 5-• 0 0 -2020 Spring -2016 Fall -2019 Spring -2019 Fall -2020 Fall -2021 Fall -2021 Spring 2016 Spring

TimeStep

Sabiki CPUE (fish/3-rigs) - Top 12 spp.



ROV MaxN - Top 12 spp.



ROV MaxN - Top 12 spp.

